disagree with that.

1: :59

SENATOR CARPENTER: Is that under the idea of the new amendment we had to the constitution.

SENATOR MARVEL: Yes sir.

SENATOR CARPENTER: And that was introduced by Senator Barnett? I think you ought to be interested in this conversation because you brought part of it about.

SENATOR MARVEL: No the original constitution amendment that I'm talking about on line item vetoes is the part of constitutional amendment that was proposed with the constitutional revision commission, and Senator Whitney or Senator Syas can speak to this.

SPEAKER: Senator Whitney do you care to respond?

SENATOR CARPENTER: If anybody has any objections to this dialogue I hope that they will object, I'm only trying to be helpful because it's quite an important problem, and eventually somebody is going to have to determine something so we will know what we can do and at the time we will have to do something.

SENATOR MARVEL: May I read, do you have the constitution?

SENATOR WHITNEY: Yes I have the constitution here. I'd like to read the constitution on this point. (read). Now it is the thought of the constitutional revision commission that this would be treated like any other bill, the Governor has sent it back to this legislative body and we would have the right to veto with 30 votes.

SENATOR CARPENTER: But what if he takes the position Senator, while we are talking, let's say that the constitution doesn't say I have to. Is the only recourse to the court?

SENATOR WHITNEY: I would feel that the Governor, no matter who he was would cooperate and send it back to the legislature.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well in this matter I can't speak for him, I don't think he would or should.

SENATOR WHITNEY: I think he would and should.

SENATOR MARVEL: Did you read the last part of section 16, Senator Whitney?

SENATOR WHITNEY: No I was reading in section 17.

SENATOR MARVEL: May I read the last sentence which may clarify some of this. (read). Find it.

SENATOR WHITNEY: Yes.

SENATOR MARVEL: I'm not sure this throws any light on it, but that's what the amendment, the last part of the amendment that was passed in November does.

SPEAKER: Senator Clark did you wish to be recognized?

SENATOR CLARK: Yes I do. In the first place I disagree with the whole theory of it, because this is letting the Governor appropriate money, and I don't think this is proper. It is our responsibility in the constitution to appropriate the money. Now why are we going to put a budget out at all, if he is going to cut the items down or anything else. There is no sense to us putting out a budget because he is the one going to appropriate it, and this should be a direct separation of powers, in my opinion. Now I still think that if he, if we raise \$50,000.00 as an example that Senator Marvel used, and he cut it down, he's appropriating and that's wrong, and I don't think it's possible to do that. I